Notation. For a set $A$, we denote by $|A|$ the cardinality of $A$, i.e., the unique cardinal $\kappa$ with $A \equiv \kappa$. Note that Axiom of Choice implies that every set has cardinality (Zermelo's theorem), but this cannot be proven from only the ZF axioms.

1. Let $(A,<)$ be a partial ordering.
(a) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a set of chains in $A$, i.e., $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(A)$ and each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is a chain. Suppose that any two $C, C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}$ are $\subseteq$-comparable, i.e., $C \subseteq C^{\prime}$ or $C^{\prime} \subseteq C$. Prove that $\cup \mathcal{C}$ is a chain.

Hint: It is enough to show that for any $a, b \in \cup \mathcal{C}$, there is $C \in \mathcal{C}$ with $a, b \in C$.
(b) Call a chain $C \subseteq A$ maximal if it is $\subseteq$-maximal, i.e., there is no chain $C^{\prime} \subseteq A$ that properly contains $C$. Prove that any chain $C \subseteq A$ is contained in a maximal chain.
2. Denote by $<$ the relation $\in$ on ordinals and let $\kappa \geqslant \omega$ be a cardinal.
(a) For any well-ordering $(A,<)$, if $\left|A_{<a}\right|<\kappa$ for each $a \in A$, then $(A,<) \preceq(\kappa, \in)$. Hint: Take the unique ordinal $\alpha$ such that $(A,<) \simeq(\alpha, \in)$.
(b) Define a binary relation $<_{2}$ on $\kappa \times \kappa$ as follows: for $\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right) \in \kappa \times \kappa$, put $\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)<_{2}\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gathered}
\max \left\{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right\}<\max \left\{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right\} \\
\text { or } \\
{\left[\max \left\{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right\}=\max \left\{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right\} \text { and }\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)<_{\text {lex }}\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)\right] .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Prove that $<_{2}$ is a well-ordering.
(c) Without Axiom of Choice, prove by transfinite induction that for any cardinal $\kappa \geqslant \omega$, $|\kappa \times \kappa|=\kappa$.
Hint: Use the induction hypothesis to deduce that for each $(\alpha, \beta) \in \kappa \times \kappa, \mid \operatorname{pred}((\alpha, \beta), \kappa \times$ $\left.\kappa,<_{2}\right) \mid<\kappa$. Apply (a).
(d) Taking $\kappa:=\omega$, this gives a slightly different proof that $\omega^{2} \equiv \omega$. The difference is in the ordering on $\omega^{2}$. What was the ordering we considered in class to prove $\omega^{2} \equiv \omega$ ?
(e) (Optional) Conclude that if $\left(A_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ is a sequence of sets of cardinality at most $\kappa$, then $\left|\bigcup_{\alpha<\kappa} A_{\alpha}\right| \leqslant \kappa$. Pinpoint exactly where you use AC.
3. Consider the partial ordering $(\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{N}), \subseteq)$.
(a) Show that the set $E$ of even natural numbers is a chain in both partial orderings $(\omega, \in)$ and $(\mathscr{P}(\omega), \subseteq)$.
(b) Put $C_{n}:=\left\{k \cdot 2^{n}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Show that the set $\mathcal{C}:=\left\{C_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a chain in the partial ordering $(\mathscr{P}(\omega), \subseteq)$. Find the $\subseteq$-least and $\subseteq$-largest elements of $\mathcal{C}$, if they exist.
(c) Exhibit an infinite chain in $(\mathscr{P}(\omega), \subseteq)$ consisting of only infinite subsets of $\omega$ and admitting an $\subseteq$-least element.
4. Prove that for any set $A$, its Hartog set $\chi(A)$, as defined in class, is the least ordinal that does not inject into $A$. Recall that we already proved in class that it does not inject, so all you have to show is the leastness.
5. Let $(A,<)$ be a partial ordering and let $\mathbf{W O}(A)$ be the set as in the proof of Hartog's theorem, i.e.,

$$
\mathbf{W O}(A):=\left\{\left(B,<_{B}\right): B \subseteq A \text { and }<_{B} \text { is a well-ordering of } B\right\} .
$$

Define an ordering $\preceq^{\prime}$ on $\mathbf{W O}(A)$ by putting

$$
\left(B_{1},<_{1}\right) \preceq^{\prime}\left(B_{2},<_{2}\right): \Longleftrightarrow\left(B_{1},<_{1}\right) \text { is an initial segment in }\left(B_{2},<_{2}\right) .
$$

In other words, $B_{1} \subseteq B_{2},<_{1} \subseteq<_{2}$, and $B_{1}$ is an initial segment in $\left(B_{2},<_{2}\right)$.
Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a chain in $\left(\mathbf{W O}(A), \preceq^{\prime}\right)$, let $U:=\bigcup \mathcal{C}$, and let $<_{U}:=\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}}<_{C}$.
(a) Prove that $<_{U}$ is a total ordering of $U$.
(b) Prove that for any $\left(C,<_{C}\right) \in \mathcal{C}, C$ is an initial segment of $\left(U,<_{U}\right)$.
(c) Deduce that for any set $S \subseteq U$ and any $\left(C,<_{C}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$, every element of $S \cap C$ is $<_{U}$ every element of $S \backslash C$.
(d) Conclude that $<_{U}$ is a well-ordering of $U$.

